Et Wibi Y

OPIGINAL NOV 0 6 2002 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP 1 JOHN W. KEKER - #49092 MICHAEL H. PAGE - #154913 2 710 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1704 Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188 3 RECEIVED INTERTRUST TECHNOLÓGIES CORPORATION NOV - 4 2002 DOUGLAS K. DERWIN - #111407 5 MARK SCADINA - #173103 RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND JEFF MCDOW - #184727 4800 Patrick Henry Drive Santa Clara, CA 95054 Telephone: (408) 855-0100 Facsimile: (408) 855-0144 8 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION 10 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 14 Case No. C 01-1640 SBA (MEJ) INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES 15 CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, Consolidated with C 02-0647 SBA 16 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER 17 18 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 2 Washington corporation. Pleintiff's Colored and directed to serve this 19 Defendant. order upon ___ ___ puries in this action. 20 21 AND COUNTER ACTION. **22** 23 On October 31, 2002, this Court conducted a further telephonic Case Management 24 Conference. Pursuant to that conference, the Court ORDERS: 25 SELECTION OF CLAIMS TO BE CONSTRUED 26 The following twelve claims have been selected to be the subject of a "mini-Markman" 27

301151.01

28

and "indefiniteness" hearing:

[PROPOSED] FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER CASE NO. C 01-1640 SBA (MEI), CONSOLIDATED WITH C 02-0647 SBA Patent No. 6,253,193 B1: Claims 1, 11, 15 & 19

Patent No. 6,157,721: Claims 1 & 34

Patent No. 5,917,912: Claims 8 & 35

Patent No. 5,920,861: Claim 58

Patent No. 5,982,891: Claim 1

Patent No. 6,185,683 B1: Claim 2

Patent No. 5,892,900:

Claim 155

SCHEDULE

The Court adopts the following schedule:

renorm Bellale were a line of the second	
Patent L.R. 4-1 exchange of proposed terms and claim elements for construction for the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims	11/08/02
Patent L.R. 4-2 exchange of preliminary claim constructions and extrinsic evidence for the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims	12/20/02
Last day for parties to meet and confer for the purpose of preparing joint claim construction statement for the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims	1/17/03
Parties to file Patent L.R. 4-3 joint claim construction statement for the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims	1/31/03
Further Telephonic Case Management Conference (regarding format and length of Markman hearing and briefing)	2/13/03 2:30 p.m.
Completion of claim construction discovery pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-4 for the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims (other than depositions of declarants)	2/28/03
File and serve opening claim construction brief(s) pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-5(a) for the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims	3/17/03
File and serve Motion(s) for Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims) on issue of indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112(2)	3/17/03
File and serve opposition brief(s) pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-5(b) for the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims	4/7/03
File and serve Opposition to any Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve S. lected InterTrust Patent Claims) on issue of indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112(2)	

11	•	
l		
و الم	Action Table Description	
F	le and serve reply claim construction brief(s) pursuant to Patent L.R.	4/21/03
4 Fi	(for the Individual Section of Partial Summary Judgment (for le and serve Reply on any Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (for le and serve Reply on any Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (for le and serve Reply on any Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (for le and serve Reply on any Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (for le and serve Reply on any Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (for le and serve Reply on any Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (for le and serve Reply on any Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (for le and serve Reply on any Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (for le and serve Reply on any Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (for le and serve Reply on any Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (for le and serve Reply on any Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (for le and serve Reply on any Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (for le and serve Reply on any Motion for le and serve Reply on any Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (for le and serve Reply on any Motion for le a	4/21/03
P ti P	atent L.R. 4-6 claim construction hearing (and, possibly, technology atent L.R. 4-6 claim construction hearing (and, possibly, technology atent L.R. 4-6 claim construction hearing (and, possibly, technology atent L.R. 4-6 claims and Motion for atental) for Twelve Selected artial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected artial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected artial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected artial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected artial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected artial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected artial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected artial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected artial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected artial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected artial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected artial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected artial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected artial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected artial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected artial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected artial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected artial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected articles articles articles articles articles articles are the formation of the Twelve Selected articles are the formation	May 12, 29. & 30, 2003
	12(2) Surther Case Management Conference	45 days after Ruling(s)
_	OTHER MATTERS DISCUSSED	
	The Court defers consideration of the format of the Markman and	Vor indefiniter
1	and of the length and number of briefs, to the February 13, 200.	Further Case
1	Management Conference. The parties shall address these issues in their	Further Case
	Management Conference Statement.	
١.	Manageric Corrections of the second of the s	
ľ	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	·	tun
	DATED: November 5, 2002 Hon Saundra B	Armstron strict Judge
	TI IS SO ORDERED. DATED: November 5, 2002 Addings	Arristron strict Judge
	DATED: November 5, 2002 Hon Saundra B	Amistron strict Judge
	DATED: November 5, 2002 Hon Saundra B	Amistron strict Judge
	DATED: November 5, 2002 Hon Saundra B	Amistron strict Judge
	DATED: November 5, 2002 Hon Saundra B	Amistron strict Judge
	DATED: November 5, 2002 Hon Saundra B	Amistron strict Judge
	DATED: November 5, 2002 Hon Saundra B	Armstron strict Judge
	DATED: November 5, 2002 Hon Saundra B	Amistron strict Judge
	DATED: November 5, 2002 Hon Saundra B	Arristron strict Judge

11/07/02 12:32 FAX 415 397 7188

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

Ø

KEKER & VAN NEST

2007/007

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the following service was made. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Keker & Van Nest, LLP, 710 Sansome Street, San Francisco, California 94111.

On November 7, 2002, I served the following document(s):

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

by FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION (IKON) AND UNITED STATES MAIL, by placing a true and correct copy with IKON Office Solutions, the firm's in-house facsimile transmission center provider, for transmission on this date. The transmission was reported as complete and without error. A true and correct transmission on this date. The transmission was reported as complete and without error. A true and correct copy of same was placed in a sealed envelope addressed as shown below. I am readily familiar with the practice of Keker & Van Nest, LLP for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing. According to that practice, items are deposited with the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am aware that, on motion of the party surved, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or the postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing stated in this affidavit.

11

13

14

16 17

18

19 20

10

Eric L Wesenberg, Esq.
Mark R. Weinstein, Esq.
Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe
1000 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Telephone: Facsimile:

650/614-7400 650/614-7401 John D. Vandenberg, Esq.
James E. Geringer, Esq.
Kristin L. Cleveland, Esq.
Klarquist Sparkman Campbell, et al.
One World Trade Center, Suite 1600
121 S.W. Salmon Street
Portland OR 97204

Telephone: 503/226-7391 Facsimile: 503/228-9446

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on November 7, 2002, at San Francisco, California

NOEDLE'S. NICHOLS

2223

21

24

25 26

27

28